Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 102 (1975) C17–C20 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

HYPERCONJUGATION AND HOMOCONJUGATION IN ALKYL RADICALS BEARING β -PHOSPHORUS SUBSTITUENTS

IAN G. NEIL and BRIAN P. ROBERTS*

Christopher Ingold Laboratories, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H OAJ (Great Britain)

(Received October 9th, 1975)

Summary

The isotropic ³¹P hyperfine splitting constants derived from the ESR spectra of a series of β -phosphorus-substituted alkyl radicals are in the order expected for hyperconjugative spin transmission.

There has been much discussion [1] concerning the mechanism of interaction between a β -substituent, MX_n , and the unpaired electron in alkyl radicals of the type $X_nMCH_2 CR_2$. Whilst it appears likely that the hyperfine splitting (hfs) from phosphorus(V), detected in the ESR spectra of radicals of the type $\equiv PV-CH_2 CR_2$, is predominantly hyperconjugative in origin [2], it has been suggested that $p_\pi - p_\pi$ homoconjugation, involving the lone-pair on phosphorus and the 2 *p*-electron on carbon, is responsible for the large ³¹P-hfs (251 G) reported [2,3] for the radical Et₂ PCH₂ CH₂.

We report here a preliminary study of a series of β -phosphorus-substituted alkyl radicals and related species in solution, which supports the view that hyperconjugation is mainly responsible for the detected ³¹P-hfs, regardless of whether the oxidation state of the phosphorus atom is three or five. The radicals were generated photochemically in the cavity of an ESR spectrometer, either by addition of the appropriate phosphorus-centred radical to an alkene [4] (eq. 1) or by displacement [5a] from phosphorus(III) in a diphosphine of the type (II; m = 0-2) (eq. 2). The spectroscopic parameters are listed in Table 1.

$$L_n P \cdot + H_2 C = CR_2 \rightarrow L_n P CH_2 \dot{C}R_2$$
(1)
(I)

t-BuO + Me₂ PCH₂ (CH₂)_m CH₂ PMe₂ \rightarrow Me₂ PCH₂ (CH₂)_m CH₂ + t-BuOPMe₂

(III)

C17

(2)

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Radical	Sourcea	T(°C)	Hyperfine splittings (G)			
			a(P)	$a(H_{\alpha})$	a(H _β)	Others
Me ₂ PCH ₂ ĊH ₂ b	A, B	-5	45.5	20.5	17.5	
	A, B	66	46.9	20.5	16.1	
Me, PCH, ČMe,	в	51	54.5		13.0	22.0(6H)
Me, POC-t-Bu,	С	-115	45.9			0.3(18H)
Et, POC-t-Bu,	c	-115	41.5			0.3(1 3H)
(OCH2 CMe2 O-)2 PCH2 C-t-Bu2	В	-25	127.3		13.3	0.6(18H)
	B	-75	127.5		13.2	0.6(18H)
(EtO) ₂ P(O)CH ₂ ČH ₂	В	-46	84.3	21.3	18.8	
	в	-112	89.5	21.2	17.0	
(EtO) ₂ P(O)CH ₂ ČMe ₂	в	-21	104.6		13.9	22.6(6H)
	B	60	105.8		13.8	22.4(6H)
(EtO) ₂ P(O)CH ₂ Č-t-Bu ₂	B	+20	106.5		13.7	0.4(18H)
	в	-116	109.5		13.7	0.4(18H)
(Me, N), P(O)CH, CH,	в	-75	79.0	21.2	18.5	
(Me, N), P(O)CH, C-t-Bu,	в	75	94.0		14.0	0.5(18H)
Et. P(O)CH. CH.	в	-90	77.0	21.4	17.0	
Et. P(O)CH, C-t-Bu,	В	-95	77.5	•	14.4	0.5(18H)
Me, PCH, CH, CH,	Α	60	1.6	22.0	28.0	0.75(2H)
Me, PCH, CH, CH, CH,	A	-70	1.5	22.0	28.5	0.7(2H)

TABLE 1

ESR PARAMETERS FOR β-PHOSPHORUS ALKYL RADICALS IN CYCLOPROPANE

^a A = Photolysis of t-Bu OOBu-t in the presence of Me₂ PCH₂ (CH₂)_mCH₂ PMe₂; B = Photolysis of t-Bu OOBu-t in the presence of L_nPH or (EtO)₂ POP(OEt)₂ and H₂ C=CR₂; C = Photolysis of t-Bu₂ C=O in the presence of R, PPR₂. ^b g = 2.0026.

The magnitude and temperature dependence of $a(H_{\beta})$ for the β -substituted radicals indicates [1] that the most stable conformation is that shown in IV.



The magnitude of $a(P_{\beta})$ increases and that of $a(H_{\beta})$ decreases with the bulk of R and with decreasing temperature. For (I; R = Me) and, particularly, (I; R = t-Bu) the coupling constants are almost independent of temperature, and the only conformation which is significantly populated appears to be IV. For similar steric reasons, the "eclipsed" conformation V would be adopted by the dialkylphosphinyl radical adducts of di-t-butyl ketone (see Table 1). The most stable conformation about the βC —P bond is more difficult to ascertain, but the small temperature dependence of $a(P_{\beta})$ for (I; R = t-Bu) indicates that, for these radicals, different rotamers exhibit similar phosphorus splittings, and/or the relative rotamer populations are not influenced by temperature changes within the range studied. The most stable conformation is probably VI or VII, where Y = O or a lone-pair. Many of the ESR spectra exhibited selective broadening of the central component of the β -hydrogen triplet at low temperatures, which may be attributable to exchange of H^1 and H^2 in VI.

The variation of $a(P_{\beta})$ with the nature of the β -substituent is consistent with a hyperconjugative mechanism of spin-transmission, in which the degree of delocalisation into the β C—P bond remains roughly constant, for given α -substituents. Changes in $a(P_{\beta})$ reflect changes in the P-3s character of the β C—P bond. Thus, there is a decrease in $a(P_{\beta})$ for (IV; R = t-Bu or Me) on going along the series $L_n P=(OCH_2 CMe_2 O^2)_2 P > (EtO)_2 P(O) > (Me_2 N)_2 P(O) >$ $Et_2 P(O) > Me_2 P$, in line with the decrease in ${}^1J(PH)$ for the compounds $L_n P$ —H, and in a(P) for the radicals $L_n P$ • [6]. For the phosphorus(V) substituted radicals (I; R = t-Bu), a plot of $a(P_{\beta})$ against ${}^1J(PH)$ gives a good straight line.

The low value of $a(P_{\beta})$ which we find for $R_2 PCH_2 CH_2$ in solution makes it unnecessary to propose any large homoconjugative contribution to the coupling. The reason for the very large phosphorus splitting obtained for $Et_2 PCH_2 CH_2$ in the solid state is not clear, although it may be the result of the trapping of an unstable conformation [2,3], for which homoconjugation might be important, in the solid matrix at low temperatures.

No evidence was found for the cyclisation of the radicals (III; m = 0.2) to give tetraalkylphosphoranyl radicals, and there were no line-shape effects ascribable to α, ω -transfer of the Me₂ P group at higher temperatures (up to +40°C). The latter result is not surprising, since the α - and ω -CH₂ groups would probably remain non-equivalent in a cyclic phosphoranyl radical intermediate [5b].

References

- 1 D. Griller and K.U. Ingold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 6715, and ref. therein.
- 2 A.R. Lyons and M.C.R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday II, 68 (1972) 622.
- 3 M.C.R. Symons, Chem. Phys. Letters, 19 (1973) 61.
- 4 A.G. Davies, D. Griller and B.P. Roberts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94 (1972) 1782.
- 5 a A.G. Davies, R.W. Dennis and B.P. Roberts, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, (1974) 1101.
- b R.W. Dennis and B.P. Roberts, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, (1975) 140.
- 6 A.G. Davies, R.W. Dennis, D. Griller, K.U. Ingold and B.P. Roberts, Mol. Phys., 25 (1973) 989.